**Note to reader.** In preparation for the possible redefinition of the kilogram, ampere, kelvin and mole by the 24th CGPM in 2011 in terms of the Planck constant, elementary charge, Boltzmann constant, and Avogadro constant, respectively, the CCEM Working Group on Proposed Changes to the SI has prepared this draft of a possible *Mise en pratique* for the ampere and other SI electric units in order to focus attention on the issues involved.

All characters in the color blue are meant to be place holders of the final characters to be inserted at the time of acceptance of this *Mise en pratique*.

At the time of the preparation of this *Mise en pratique*, single electron tunneling (SET) implementations still have technical limitations and larger relative uncertainties than some other competitive techniques. However, SET implementations are included in this *Mise en pratique* because they offer unique and elegant approaches to realizing SI units, all be it with larger uncertainties at the present time.

Virtually all numbers are truncated in modern calculations. This is true with limitations on the number of significant digits at each stage of the calculation, as well as in transfers from decimal to binary and back to decimal. This merely emphasizes that truncation of the value of any number is a universally accepted process and that the impact is really focused on the uncertainty imposed by that process. So long as the uncertainty due to truncation is kept substantially smaller than the uncertainty of the overall result, then this process will have no measurable effect

However, it is probably still helpful to the metrological community to recommend that they use a truncated value that is at a sufficiently small level that its use will have no measurable uncertainty impact for practical applications. Twelve significant digits seems a reasonable level at the moment and this *Mise en pratique* may be revised later as technical advances dictate.

Comments and suggestions are welcome and may be sent to Barry Wood, working group chair: barry.wood@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.

## Mise en pratique for the ampere and other electric units in the International System of Units (SI)

CCEM Working Group on the SI Draft #1

**1. Introduction.** Resolution AA of the 24th General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM), which convened in October 2011, abrogated the then existing definitions of the four base units kilogram, ampere, kelvin, and mole of the International System of Units (SI) and replaced them with new definitions that fix the values of the Planck constant h, elementary charge e, Boltzmann constant k, and Avogadro constant  $N_A$ , respectively. The exact values of h, e, k, and  $N_A$  resulting from the new definitions are [1]

CCEM/09-05

$$h = 6.626\ 068\ 960\ 83 \times 10^{-34}\ \text{J s} \tag{1}$$

$$e = 1.602\ 176\ 487\ 186 \times 10^{-19}\ C$$
 (2)

$$k = 1.380 650 392 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1}$$
 (3)

$$N_{\rm A} = 6.022\ 141\ 794\ 35 \times 10^{23}\ {\rm mol}^{-1}.$$
 (4)

The purpose of this *Mise en pratique*, prepared by the Consultative Committee for Electricity and Magnetism (CCEM) of the International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM) and formally adopted by the CIPM, is to indicate how the SI base unit, the ampere, symbol A, and the derived SI electric units with names and symbols, the volt V, ohm  $\Omega$ , siemens S, coulomb C, farad F, henry H, watt W, tesla T, and weber Wb, may be realized in practice based on the new definitions.

In general, the term "to realize a unit" is interpreted to mean the establishment of the value and associated uncertainty of a quantity of the same kind as the unit that is consistent with the definition of the unit. It is important to recognize that any method consistent with the laws of physics and the SI base unit definitions can be used to realize any SI unit, base or derived. Thus, the list of methods given is not meant to be an exhaustive list of all possibilities, but rather a list of those methods that are easiest to implement and/or that provide the smallest uncertainties.

**2. Magnetic constant**  $\mu_0$  and related quantities. The new definitions of the kilogram, ampere, kelvin, and mole do not alter the relationships among the magnetic constant (permeability of vacuum)  $\mu_0$ , electric constant (permittivity of vacuum)  $\varepsilon_0$ , characteristic impedance of vacuum  $Z_0$ , admittance of vacuum  $Y_0$ , and speed of light in vacuum c. Moreover, they do not change the exact value

$$c = 299 792 458 \text{ m s}^{-1},$$
 (5)

which follows from the definition of the SI base unit of length, the metre, m. The relationships among these constants are

$$\varepsilon_0 = 1/\mu_0 c^2 \tag{6}$$

$$Z_0 = \mu_0 c = (\mu_0 / \varepsilon_0)^{1/2} \tag{7}$$

$$Y_0 = 1/\mu_0 c = (\varepsilon_0/\mu_0)^{1/2} = 1/Z_0.$$
 (8)

However, the new definitions do affect the value of  $\mu_0$ , and hence the values of  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $Z_0$ , and  $Y_0$ . In particular,  $\mu_0$  no longer has the exact value  $4\pi \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{N} \,\mathrm{A}^{-2}$  and must be determined experimentally. The value of  $\mu_0$  can be obtained with a relative standard uncertainty  $u_{\mathrm{r}}$ , identical to that of the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  from the exact relation

$$\mu_0 = \alpha \frac{2h}{ce^2},\tag{9}$$

Since h, c, and e are exactly known, it follows from Eqs. (6)-(9) that  $u_r(Y_0) = u_r(Z_0) = u_r(\varepsilon_0) = u_r(\mu_0) = u_r(\alpha)$ .

The recommended values of h, e, k, and  $N_A$  resulting from the 2010 CODATA least-squares adjustment of the values of the fundamental constants [2] were the basis of the exact values used for these four constants in the new definitions of the kilogram, ampere, kelvin, and mole adopted by the 24th CGPM. A repeat of the 2010 adjustment but with h, e, k, and  $N_A$  taken to have the exact values used in the new definitions, yields the following currently recommended value of the magnetic constant:

$$\mu_0 = 4\pi [1 + 0.0(6.8) \times 10^{-10}] \times 10^{-7} \text{ N A}^{-2}$$
  
= 12.566 370 6144(86) ×10<sup>-7</sup> N A<sup>-2</sup> (10)

The values and uncertainties of the electric constant, characteristic impedance of vacuum and characteristic admittance of vacuum [3] may always be obtained from the relationships

$$\varepsilon_0 = (1/\mu_0 c^2) \tag{11}$$

$$Z_0 = \mu_0 c \tag{12}$$

$$Y_0 = (1/\mu_0 c)$$
 (13)

It should be recognized that the recommended values for  $\mu_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $Z_0$ , and  $Y_0$  given in Eqs. (10)-(13) are expected to change slightly from one future CODATA adjustment to the next as new data that influence the value of  $\alpha$  become available. Users of this document should, therefore, always employ the most up-to-date CODATA recommended values for these constants in their calculations. Of course, the values of h, e, k, and  $N_A$  fixed by the new definitions will be unchanged from one adjustment to the next; their values can change only if the CGPM adopts a different definition for one or more of the base units kilogram, ampere, kelvin, and mole.

**3. Definition of the ampere.** The definition of the ampere, SI base unit of electric current, as adopted by the 24th CGPM, is as follows [1]:

## final ampere definition to be placed here

- **4.** Practical realization of the ampere, A, SI base unit of electric current. As already noted in Sec. 1, to realize a unit generally means to establish the value and associated uncertainty of a quantity of the same kind as the unit that is consistent with the definition of the unit. In practice, the ampere A can be realized:
- (a) by using Ohm's law, the unit relation  $A = V/\Omega$ , and using practical realizations of the SI derived units volt V and ohm  $\Omega$ , based on the Josephson and quantum Hall effects, respectively, as discussed in Secs. 5 and 6 below; or
- (b) by using a single electron tunneling (SET) or similar device, the unit relation A = C/s, the value of e given in Eq. (2) and a practical realization of the SI base unit second s; or
- (c) by using the relation  $I = C \cdot dU/dt$ , the unit relation  $A = F \cdot V/s$ , and practical realizations of the SI derived units volt V and farad F and of the SI base unit second s and by applying a voltage ramp dU/dt to a capacitor of capacitance C.

5. Practical realization of the volt, V, SI derived unit of electric potential difference (voltage) and electromotive force. The volt V can be realized using the Josephson effect and the following value of the Josephson constant  $K_J$ :

$$K_{\rm J} = 483\ 597.890\ 893\ {\rm GHz\ V}^{-1}.$$
 (14)

This value follows from the equation  $K_J = 2e/h$ , which is strongly supported by a large body of experimental and theoretical work, and the values of h and e given in Eqs. (1) and (2). Although the quotient 2e/h can obviously be calculated with any number of digits, this truncated recommended value is in error by less than 1 part in  $10^{12}$ , which is surely negligible in the vast majority of applications. In those rare cases where this error may not be negligible, additional digits should be employed. The advantage of recommending a particular value of  $K_J$  for practical use is that it ensures that virtually all realizations of the volt based on the Josephson effect employ the same value.

Note that the value of  $K_J$  in Eq. (14) is *smaller* than the value  $K_{J-90} = 483\,597.9\,\text{GHz}\,\text{V}^{-1}$ , which was adopted by the CIPM starting 1 January 1990 for the international realization of the volt using the Josephson effect, by the fractional amount  $18.8 \times 10^{-9}$ . This implies that the unit of voltage realized using  $K_{J-90}$  was *larger* than the present SI unit as realized using the value in Eq. (14) by the same fractional amount. Thus, the numerical value of a voltage measured in terms of  $K_{J-90}$  would have been *smaller* by the same fractional amount as the numerical value of the identical voltage measured today in terms of the present SI volt realized using the value of  $K_J$  given in Eq. (14).

## 6. Practical realization of the ohm, $\Omega$ , SI derived unit of electric resistance and impedance.

The ohm  $\Omega$  can be realized as follows:

(a) by using the quantum Hall effect in a manner consistent with the CCEM Guidelines [4] and the following value of the von Klitzing constant  $R_K$ :

$$R_{\rm K} = 25\ 812.807\ 557\ 4\ \Omega.$$
 (15)

This value follows from the equation  $R_{\rm K} = h/e^2$ , which is strongly supported by a large body of experimental and theoretical work, and the values of h and e given in Eqs. (1) and (2). Although the quotient  $h/e^2$  can obviously be calculated with any number of digits, this truncated recommended value is in error by less than 1 part in  $10^{12}$ , which is surely negligible in the vast majority of applications. In those rare cases where this error may not be negligible, additional digits should be employed. The advantage of recommending a particular value of  $R_{\rm K}$  for practical use is that it ensures that virtually all realizations of the ohm based on the quantum Hall effect employ the same value; or

(b) by comparing an unknown resistance to the impedance of a known capacitance using, for example, a quadrature bridge, where, for example, the capacitance has been determined by means of a calculable capacitor and the value of the electric constant given in Eq. (11).

Note that the value of  $R_{\rm K}$  in Eq. (15) is *larger* than the value  $R_{\rm K-90} = 25\,812.807\,\Omega$ , which was adopted by the CIPM starting 1 January 1990 for the international realization of the ohm using the quantum Hall effect, by the fractional amount  $21.6\times10^{-9}$ . This implies that the unit of

<sup>\*</sup> This result has been calculated to 12 significant digits

<sup>\*</sup> This result has been calculated to 12 significant digits

resistance realized using  $R_{K-90}$  was *larger* than the present SI unit as realized using the value in Eq. (15) by the same fractional amount. Thus, the numerical value of a resistance measured in terms of  $R_{K-90}$  would have been *smaller* by the same fractional amount as the numerical value of the identical resistance measured today in terms of the present SI ohm realized using the value of  $R_{K}$  given in Eq. (15).

- 7. Practical realization of the siemens, S, SI derived unit of electric conductance. The siemens S can be realized from a realization of the ohm (see Sec. 6) since S is related to  $\Omega$  by the unit relation  $1 \text{ S} = 1 \Omega^{-1}$ .
- **8.** Practical realization of the coulomb, C, SI derived unit of electric charge. The coulomb C can be realized as follows:
- (a) by measuring the duration in terms of the SI unit of time, the second s, of the flow of an electric current known in terms of the ampere realized as indicated in Sec. 4; or
- (b) by determining the amount of charge placed on a capacitance known in terms of the farad F realized by method 9a) or 9b), using the unit relation  $C = F \cdot V$  and by measuring the voltage across the capacitance in terms of the volt V as realized by the Josephson effect and the value of the Josephson constant given in Eq. (14) (see Sec. 5); or
- (c) by using a SET or similar device to transfer a known amount of charge based on the value of *e* given in Eq. (2) onto a suitable circuit element.
- **9. Practical realization of the farad, F, SI derived unit of capacitance.** The farad F can be realized as follows:
- (a) by comparing the impedance of a known resistance obtained using the quantum Hall effect and the value of the von Klitzing constant given in Eq. (15) (see Sec. 6), including a quantized Hall resistance itself, to the impedance of an unknown capacitance using, for example, a quadrature bridge; or
  - (b) by using a calculable capacitor and the value of the electric constant given in Eq. (11); or
- (c) by using a SET or similar device to place an amount of charge known in terms of the coulomb and based on the value of e given in Eq. (2) on an unknown capacitance, using the unit relation F = C/V and measuring the voltage across the capacitance in terms of the volt V as realized by the Josephson effect and the value of the Josephson constant given in Eq. (14) (see Sec. 5).
- 10. Practical realization of the henry, H, SI derived unit of inductance. The henry H can be realized as follows:
- (a) by comparing the impedance of an unknown inductance to the impedance of a known capacitance with the aid of known resistances using, for example, a Maxwell-Wien bridge, where the known capacitance and resistances have been determined, for example, from the quantum Hall effect and the value of  $R_K$  given in Eq. (15) (see Secs. 6 and 9); or
- (b) by using a calculable inductor of, for example, the Campbell type of mutual inductor and the value of the magnetic constant  $\mu_0$  given in Eq. (10).
- 11. Practical realization of the watt, W, SI derived unit of power. The watt W can be realized using electrical units by using the fact that electric power is equal to current times voltage, the unit relation based on Ohm's law,  $W = V^2/\Omega$ , and realizations of the volt and ohm using the Josephson and quantum Hall effects and the values of the Josephson and von Klitzing constants given in Eqs. (14) and (15) (see Secs. 5 and 6).

- 12. Practical realization of the tesla, T, SI derived unit of magnetic flux density. The tesla T can be realized as follows:
- (a) by using a solenoid, Helmholtz coil or other configuration of conductors of known dimensions carrying an electric current determined in terms of the ampere realized as discussed in Sec. (4), and the value of the magnetic constant  $\mu_0$  given in Eq. (10) in the calculation of the magnetic flux density generated by the current carrying conductors; or
- (b) by using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) with a sample of known gyromagnetic ratio, for example, a spherical sample of pure  $H_2O$  at 25 °C and the most recent recommended value of the shielded gyromagnetic ratio of the proton  $\gamma_p^{\prime}$  given by CODATA.
- 13. Practical realization of the weber, Wb, SI derived unit of magnetic flux. The weber Wb can be realized from the tesla based on the unit relation 1 Wb = 1 T m<sup>2</sup> or from the volt based on the unit relation 1 Wb = 1 V s. Use can also be made of the fact that the magnetic flux quantum  $\Phi_0$ , which characterizes the magnetic properties of superconductors, is related to h and e as given in Eqs. (1) and (2) by the exact relation  $\Phi_0 = h/2e$ .

## References

- [1] All numerical values of the constants given in this document are based on the 2006 CODATA adjustment of the values of the constants; see P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor, and D. B. Newell, Rev. Mod Phys. **80**(2), 633-730 (2008) or J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **37**(3), 1187-1284 (2008). In the final version of this document reference [1] would be the proceedings of the 24th CGPM (*Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Conférence Générale des Poids et Mesures*).
- [2] In the final version of this document reference [2] would be the 2010 CODATA adjustment.
- [3] In the final version of this document reference [3] would be the repeat of the 2010 CODATA adjustment employing the fixed values of h, e, k, and  $N_A$  used to define the kilogram, ampere, kelvin, and mole.
- [4] F. Delahaye and B. Jeckelmann, Metrologia **40**(5), 217-223 (2003).